

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,505	01/10/2002	David Kelley	MS1-920US	9290
22801	7590 07/01/2005		EXAM	INER .
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			KHATRI, ANIL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/044,505	KELLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anil Khatri	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 26-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-11 and 26-41 is/are rejected.					
_	<u>-</u>					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to Amendment

- 1. This office action is in response to the request for re-consideration filled on 5/13/05.
- 2. As per applicant's request claims 1-11 and 26-41 have been considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. As per applicant's request claims 1, 2, 7, 26, 32, 35 and 36 have been amended.
- 4. Claims 1-11 and 26-41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over *Kroening et al* USPN 6,080,207.

In the remarks applicant argues,

- I). "Executing the operating system corresponding to the image with software development peripheral and then communicating test information generated by the operating system".
- II). "Does not show displaying the test information generated by the operating system".
- III). "Recognizes a configuration development peripheral is communicatively linked with the host computing device".
- IV). "Debugging test information".

Response to the arguments,

I) It was noted that cited reference teaches developing and delivering software specific to image builders and execute them by desired configuration to a storage device and also contain the main operating system and main applications program code or instruction for execution (see figure 3, column 7, lines 41-57), therefore, examiner interprets that operating system gets involved in

Application/Control Number: 10/044,505

Art Unit: 2193

execution, image has been build and communication has been established to complete the process as depicted. Thus limitations are met by the reference.

- II) It was noted that cited reference fairly suggests displaying information if images is not in data bases or any other discrepancy (column 4, lines 64-67 and column 5, lines 1-5) along with display monitor (column 6, lines 1-17), therefore, limitations are met by the reference.
- III). It was also noted that cited reference suggest recognizing configuration identification of the software development (column 6, lines 19-34) in order to develop a desired configuration. Thus limitations are met by the reference.
- IV). It was also noted that reference also suggest testing process when desired configuration is needs so that it needs to be checked or test for proper product (column 2, lines 54-67) and to compare as well. Therefore, the limitations are met by the reference.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2193

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 571-272-3725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on 571-272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER